

# Notes on Poisson Processes

October 6, 2010

## 1 Introduction

Depending on the book (or website) you read, a “Poisson Process” can have many different definitions. For me, the key axioms defining it are as follows: First, we fix a time interval  $[0, T]$ , and a certain parameter  $\lambda$ , and we have associated to this interval a certain number  $X$  of events that can occur, satisfying:

- Associated to any set of DISJOINT subintervals  $I_1, \dots, I_k \subseteq [0, T]$ , we have INDEPENDENT random variables  $X_{I_1}, \dots, X_{I_k}$ , where  $X_{I_j}$  is the number of events occurring in the time window  $I_j$ .
- Let  $I := [x, x + h] \subseteq [0, T]$ . Then,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_I = 1)}{\lambda h} = 1.$$

That is to say, as  $h$  tends to 0,  $\mathbb{P}(X_I = 1)$  grows like  $\lambda h$ .

- Using the same interval  $I$  as in the above, we have that the probability that 2 or more events occur in  $I$  has size  $o(h)$ ; that is,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_I \geq 2)}{h} = 0.$$

In the third item we used little-oh notation  $o(h)$ . Let us remind ourselves what this means, since we will use it later throughout the course: Given

positive functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$ , we say that  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$f(x) < cg(x) \quad (1)$$

for sufficiently large values of  $x$  (say,  $x > x_0$ , for some  $x_0$ ). And we say that  $f(x) = o(g(x))$  if for every  $c > 0$  there exists  $x_0(c)$  such that

$$f(x) < cg(x). \quad (2)$$

In other words,  $f(x)$  grows slower than any fixed positive constant multiple of  $g(x)$  once  $x$  is large enough. We could alternatively say here that  $f(x) = o(g(x))$  means that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0.$$

In the above usage of little-oh notation (in defining a Poisson process), note that we take  $h \rightarrow 0$ , not  $\infty$ . Well, the idea for how to define little-oh and big-oh is much the same for this case: We say that  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  if there exists some constant  $c > 0$  such that (1) holds for all  $x$  sufficiently close to 0; and we say that  $f(x) = o(g(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  if for every constant  $c > 0$  there exists  $x_0(c) > 0$  such that (2) holds for  $0 < x < x_0(c)$ . We also can use the limit definition here; that is,  $f(x) = o(g(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0.$$

## 2 Poisson processes lead to Poisson distributions

It turns out that a random variable  $X$  determined via a Poisson process as in the previous section, has a Poisson distribution; and basically this will follows from a combination of several ideas we have seen previously, including facts about the binomial distribution, the union bound, and independence.

For convenience we set  $T = 1$  and  $h = 1/n$ , and then later we will let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Define the random variables

$$X_1 := X_{[0,h)}, \quad X_2 := X_{[1,2h)}, \quad \dots, \quad X_n := X_{[(n-1)h,1]}.$$

Then, the total number of events  $X := X_{[0,1]}$  satisfies

$$X = X_1 + \cdots + X_n.$$

Now, for  $h$  small enough these  $X_i$ 's are *essentially* Bernoulli random variables; and so,  $X$  is then *essentially* a binomial r.v. But we have to deal with the cases where  $X_i \geq 2$ : Define

$$E := (X_1 \geq 2) \cup (X_2 \geq 2) \cup \cdots \cup (X_n \geq 2).$$

Although the  $X_i$ 's are *independent*, we do not have that these events here are *disjoint*; however, from the union bound we know that

$$\mathbb{P}(E) \leq \mathbb{P}(X_1 \geq 2) + \cdots + \mathbb{P}(X_n \geq 2) = no(h) = o(1).$$

So, the larger we take  $n$ , the closer to 0 we will get  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  to be.

Consider now the event  $X = j$ . This can either occur by having the  $X_i$ 's take on the values 0 and 1 only, resulting in  $\binom{n}{j}$  ways of summing to  $j$ ; or can occur when some of the  $X_j \geq 2$ . As we have already said, the latter case accounts for essentially 0 probability as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So we only need to consider the case where the  $X_i$ 's are 0 or 1; and given that we are in this case, we must have that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i = \delta) \sim \begin{cases} \lambda/n, & \text{if } \delta = 1; \\ 1 - \lambda/n, & \text{if } \delta = 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows, then, that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X = j) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \binom{n}{j} (\lambda/n)^j (1 - \lambda/n)^{n-j} \\ &= (\lambda^j / j!) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-j+1)}{n^j} (1 - \lambda/n)^n (1 - \lambda/n)^{-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have that the  $n(n-1) \cdots (n-j+1)/n^j \rightarrow 1$ , as does  $(1 - \lambda/n)^{-j}$ , while the remaining factor  $(1 - \lambda/n)^n$  tends to  $e^{-\lambda}$ . So, in the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X = j) = \lambda^j e^{-\lambda} / j!,$$

which means that  $X$  has a Poisson distribution.