

# A Combinatorial Method for Developing Lucas Sequence Identities

Ernie Croot \*

Georgia Institute of Technology  
School of Math  
267 Skiles  
Atlanta, GA 30332

ecroot@math.gatech.edu

April 16, 2007

**Abstract.** Let  $M_n$  be the formal sum of all length  $n$  strings composed entirely of  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's which have no consecutive  $\alpha$ 's, so that  $M_1 = \alpha + \beta$  and  $M_2 = \alpha\beta + \beta\beta + \beta\alpha$ . In this paper, we present a new combinatorial method for discovering identities for Lucas sequences based on the result that  $M_n$  satisfies the recurrence  $M_n = \beta M_{n-1} + \alpha\beta M_{n-2}$  when  $n \geq 3$ . A few applications of this approach are presented.

## 1 Introduction

Denote the  $n$ th Fibonacci number by  $F_n$ , where  $F_1 = F_2 = 1$  and  $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$ . A well known result states that there are  $F_{n+2}$  strings of length  $n$  consisting entirely of the characters ' $\alpha$ ' and ' $\beta$ ' with no consecutive  $\alpha$ 's. We define a new sequence  $M_n$  to be the formal sum of all these length  $n$  strings, so for example  $M_1 = \alpha + \beta$ ,  $M_2 = \alpha\beta + \beta\alpha + \beta\beta$ , and so on. With this new

---

\*Supported by NSF grants DMS-0500863 and DMS-0301282. This was the author's first math paper, which was not, until now, published.

sequence, we can generalize the result for Fibonacci sequences, stated above, to other second-order linear recurrence sequences:

**Proposition 1** *For  $n \geq 3$  we have that  $M_n$  satisfies the recurrence*

$$M_n = \beta M_{n-1} + \alpha \beta M_{n-2}.$$

Before we prove this, notice that when  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ , we have  $M_n = F_{n+2}$ .

**Proof.** Consider the formal sum  $M_n$ . Divide this sum into two subsums, where the first subsum contains all strings which begin with  $\beta$ , while the second subsum contains all strings which begin with  $\alpha$ . If we remove the leading  $\beta$  from all the terms in the first subsum, we are left with a formal sum of all length  $(n - 1)$  strings which contain no consecutive  $\alpha$ 's, which is  $M_{n-1}$ ; hence, the first subsum is  $\beta M_{n-1}$ .

Since the leading character of all terms of the second subsum is  $\alpha$ , and since  $n \geq 3$ , the second character of all these terms must be  $\beta$ , so that there are no two consecutive  $\alpha$ 's. If we remove this leading  $\alpha\beta$  from all terms in the second subsum, we are left with the sum of all strings of  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's of length  $n - 2$  which contain no consecutive  $\alpha$ 's, which is  $M_{n-2}$ ; hence, the second subsum is  $\alpha\beta M_{n-2}$ .

The two subsums together are therefore  $M_n = \beta M_{n-1} + \alpha\beta M_{n-2}$ . ■

We may use this proposition to find a slightly tidier expansion for  $M_n$  by thinking of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as numbers instead of just formal symbols. When we do this, we can use the commutativity properties of multiplication and addition to collect terms with the same number of  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's.

**Proposition 2** *If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  commute then we have, for  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$M_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-i+1}{i} \alpha^i \beta^{n-i}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $M_n$  is the formal sum of all length  $n$  strings of  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's without consecutive  $\alpha$ 's (by Proposition 1), we will count the number of such strings which contain exactly  $i$   $\alpha$ 's and  $n - i$   $\beta$ 's, for each  $i \geq 0$ .

Suppose that there are precisely  $x_j$   $\beta$ 's before the  $j$ th  $\alpha$  appears in a given string. Since there are no consecutive  $\alpha$ 's in the string, we must have

$0 \leq x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_i \leq n - i$ , and indeed each such sequence of integers  $x_j$  gives rise to a valid string of  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's. Thus the number of such strings is the number of ways of selecting  $x_1, \dots, x_i$  as above, which is  $\binom{n-i+1}{i}$ . Summing up over the integers  $i \geq 0$  gives the result. ■

From this proposition we deduce the following classical corollary concerning Lucas sequences.

**Corollary 1** *If  $L_0 = 0$ ,  $L_1 = 1$  and  $L_n = aL_{n-1} + bL_{n-2}$  when  $n \geq 3$ , then:*

$$L_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-1-i}{i} b^i a^{n-1-2i}.$$

**Proof.** If  $a = 0$  the result is trivial, so henceforth assume that  $a \neq 0$ . Now letting  $a = \beta$  and  $b = \alpha\beta$ , we find that  $L_2 = \beta$ ,  $L_3 = \beta\beta + \alpha\beta = (\beta + \alpha)\beta$ , and  $L_4 = (\beta\alpha + \alpha\beta + \beta\beta)\beta$ . We may thus deduce, via a simple induction hypothesis, that  $L_n = M_{n-2}\beta$ , when  $n \geq 3$  for  $M_n$  as defined above. Therefore, by Proposition 2 above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= M_{n-2}\beta = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-1-i}{i} \alpha^i \beta^{n-2-i} \right) \beta \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-1-i}{i} b^i a^{n-1-2i}. \end{aligned}$$

■

## 2 Another Application

In this section we establish a set of identities for Lucas sequences by again using Proposition 1.

In Proposition 1 we gave a natural combinatorial definition for the sequence  $M_n$  that only makes sense for  $n \geq 1$ . We can define  $M_n$  for  $n \leq 0$ , without a combinatorial interpretation, simply by using the recurrence definition for  $M_n$  found in Proposition 1, that is

$$M_{-(n+1)} = -\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta M_{-n} + \beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}M_{-(n-1)}.$$

Then, for example,  $M_0 = -\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta M_1 + \beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}M_2 = -\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta(\alpha + \beta) + \beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(\alpha\beta + \beta\alpha + \beta\beta) = 1$  and  $M_{-1} = -\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta M_0 + \beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}M_1 = -\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta + \beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(\alpha + \beta) = \beta^{-1}$ . With these definitions, we obtain the following proposition, which generalizes the well-known identity that if  $n = x_1 + x_2 + 1$ , then  $F_n = F_{x_1}F_{x_2} + F_{x_1+1}F_{x_2+1}$ , where  $F_n$  is the  $n$ th Fibonacci number as defined in the introduction.

**Proposition 3** *If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  commute, and if  $n = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k + (k - 1)$  where each  $x_i$  is a positive integer, then*

$$M_n = \beta^{k-1} \sum_{0 \leq \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{k-1} \leq 1} (\alpha\beta)^{\delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_{k-1}} \prod_{i=1}^k M_{x_i - \delta_{i-1} - \delta_i},$$

where  $\delta_0 = \delta_k = 0$ .

The identity noted above is the case  $k = 2$ ,  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  of Proposition 3.

**Proof.** At first we will not assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  commute. We partition a given length  $n$  string of  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's with no consecutive  $\alpha$ 's as follows: Begin with a block  $B_1$  of the first  $x_1$  characters, followed by a single character  $D_1$ , then a block  $B_2$  of the next  $x_2$  characters and a single character  $D_2$ , and continue until we finish with a block  $B_k$  of  $x_k$  characters (note that we have now accounted for  $x_1 + 1 + x_2 + 1 + \cdots + x_k = n$  characters; that is, the whole string). We compute the sum  $M_n$  by splitting it up into subsums, each different subsum determined by the sequence of characters  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{k-1}$ . Thus, we will let  $D_j = \alpha$  and  $\delta_j = 1$ ; and  $D_j = \beta$  when  $\delta_j = 0$ .

If  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}$  are fixed, we consider what strings are possible in the block  $B_j$ : If  $D_{j-1} = \alpha$  then the first character of  $B_j$  must be  $\beta$  since there can be no consecutive  $\alpha$ 's; and similarly, if  $D_j = \alpha$  then the last characters of  $B_j$  must be  $\beta$ . Otherwise the characters in  $B_j$  are free to be any string of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ 's, so long as there are no consecutive  $\alpha$ 's. Thus the formal sum of the possible strings in  $B_j$ , given by  $\delta_{j-1}$  and  $\delta_j$ , must be  $\beta^{\delta_{j-1}} M_{x_j - \delta_{j-1} - \delta_j} \beta^{\delta_j}$  (special care needs to be taken to verify this formula when  $x_j - \delta_{j-1} - \delta_j = -1$  or  $0$ ). Note that the character  $D_j$  can be written as  $\alpha^{\delta_j} \beta^{1-\delta_j}$ . Thus we have

$$M_n = \sum_{0 \leq \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{k-1} \leq 1} (M_{x_1 - \delta_1} \beta^{\delta_1}) (\alpha^{\delta_1} \beta^{1-\delta_1}) (\beta^{\delta_1} M_{x_2 - \delta_1 - \delta_2} \beta^{\delta_2}) (\alpha^{\delta_2} \beta^{1-\delta_2}) \cdots \\ \cdots (\beta^{\delta_{k-2}} M_{x_{k-1} - \delta_{k-2} - \delta_{k-1}} \beta^{\delta_{k-1}}) (\alpha^{\delta_{k-1}} \beta^{1-\delta_{k-1}}) (\beta^{\delta_{k-1}} M_{x_k - \delta_{k-1}}).$$

Assuming that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  commute, this sum becomes

$$\sum_{0 \leq \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1} \leq 1} M_{x_1 - \delta_1} \beta (\alpha \beta)^{\delta_1} M_{x_2 - \delta_1 - \delta_2} \beta (\alpha \beta)^{\delta_2} \cdots \beta (\alpha \beta)^{\delta_{k-1}} M_{x_k - \delta_{k-1}}$$

and the result follows when we collect the powers of  $\alpha\beta$  and  $\beta$  using commutativity.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 2** *If  $L_0 = 0$ ,  $L_1 = 1$ , and  $L_n = aL_{n-1} + bL_{n-2}$  when  $n \geq 3$ , and if  $n = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k + (k + 1)$  (notice the  $k + 1$  - we had  $k - 1$  before) where each  $x_i$  is a positive integer, then*

$$L_n = \sum_{0 \leq \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{k-1} \leq 1} b^{\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \cdots + \delta_{k-1}} \prod_{i=1}^k L_{x_i + 2 - \delta_{i-1} - \delta_i}.$$

**Proof.** As in the proof of Corollary 1, we observe that when we let  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $b = \alpha\beta$ , we get the relation  $L_j = M_{j-2}\beta$ , or equivalently,  $M_j = \beta^{-1}L_{j+2}$ . Rewriting our partition of  $n$  as  $(n - 2) = x_1 + \cdots + x_k + (k - 1)$ , and applying Proposition 3 with each  $M_j = \beta^{-1}L_{j+2}$ , we obtain the corollary.  $\blacksquare$

### 3 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Andrew Granville, Carl Pomerance, and William R. Alford (now deceased) for their patience, support, and financial assistance which made this research possible. I would especially like to thank Andrew Granville who read through several of the drafts of this paper and who significantly shortened some of the proofs (many years ago when I was a graduate student!).